

Chapter XI

Re-assembling of Volksraad - contrast Johannesburg and
Pretoria during war - absences from Volksraad on account
of war - business done - bewaarplaatsen - proposed sale -
Milner's proclamation ~~the 20th of Dec.~~ interview
with him - Mr. Smuts on sending ultimatum - on loco
sueci of Free State - on numbers in Boer army -
on destruction of mines - on termination of the war -
on Boer prospects - women burghers want to fight - patriotic
position - a woman at the Fighting Front - Helena
Wagner and her strange story.

At the beginning of Aug. some little animation became evident at Johannesburg, but far more at Pretoria, in anticipation of the re-assembling of the Volksraad, and recognising that this was likely to be a meeting of quite unusual historical interest, I determined to be present at ^{the opening of} what ~~was~~ proved to be the last ~~sitting~~ ^{session} of that assembly.

Pretoria had been, generally speaking, far more lively during the war than Johannesburg. The deadly dullness of the latter place due, ^{primarily} to the fewness of the people remaining there, was accentuated a hundred fold by the melancholy appearance ^{imparted by the} ~~of~~ the ^{also} barricaded shops and stores. Whole streets there were with wood and iron barricades covering the shop fronts; whilst, as already described, there was almost entire cessation of vehicular traffic - including the trams, which ceased running soon after the commencement of hostilities. Pretoria, on the contrary, ~~had~~ were no barricades to be seen. The trams were still running and the constant passing to and fro of burghers, goods and stores to the front gave quite an air of animation to the town. In fact, Johannesburg and Pretoria

seemed almost to have changed places ^{as a result} ~~the result~~ of the war.
The exceptional circumstances obtaining in the country
are the re-assumption of the Volksraad additional interest
well as importance, the empty seats, & rapaciousness of the
Frikleur, of the members who had been killed or had
died during the war adding considerably to the pathos of
the scene. The death of Mr J. H. Barnard, late member
of ^{Austerlitz} ~~the~~, was in particular, very keenly felt
by fellow-members, in view of the peculiar circum-
stances under which he was killed. ^{as previously related} The Executive had
suffered more losses in proportion to members than ~~the~~
private members, the vacant places, ^{amongst the former} being those of
Lieutenant-General Goobert, whose death has been
already described, General Koch, mortally wounded at
Lauingen; and General Cronje, then a prisoner
at St. Helena. The references of President Kruger, in his
closing address, to these absences and those from the members'
deaths through similar causes were touching in the extreme.
The aged President himself, however, bore his years and
troubles very well, and spoke with a vigor which
had done credit to a man twenty years younger.

The session of the Volksraad lasted only two days, the members being eager to ~~return~~ ^{reform} to their commands. Apart from matters directly connected with the war, the principal matter discussed was a proposal by the Government to sell the underground mining rights (bewaarplaatsen) of the Witwatersrand Gold-fields; which was, however, rejected by the Raad. For this the present mine-owners may duly thankful. Had the proposal been carried through it would have depreciated the value of the mines, in some cases probably fifty per cent. Sir Alfred Milner's proclamation to the effect that the British Government would refuse to recognise the validity of such ~~a~~ ^{any} alienation to the contrary notwithstanding.

One quite fails to see what possible basis stands Sir Alfred Milner or the British Government could have in this matter. It must be remembered that this was not an attack on the part of the Government by a catch vote under exceptional circumstances, to effect a radical alteration in the laws of the country, but merely a request to the Raad to be allowed to enforce a provision in the law which had always existed. Even had the former

in the case, it surely behoved us to at least annex the country, to say nothing of conquering it - it used to conquer and annex, but we have changed all that before ~~beginning~~ ^{beginning} to govern it, or interfere in the interpretation and administration of its laws. It would certainly have been a very interesting point in international relations, had the sale been effected between the subjects one of the European Powers or America, whether the power in question would have recognised the right of Alfred Milner or the British Government by means such a proclamation to ~~protect~~ ^{protect its} ~~subject~~ ^{bargain} subjects, effecting a perfectly legitimate ~~deal~~ with the Transvaal Government. Considering that when the proclamation in question was issued, so far from the country being effectively occupied or annexed, even the capital had not been occupied by the British forces - an event which occupied some considerable time before Pretoria itself was taken this is, to say the least, highly improbable.

Being at Pretoria, I took advantage of the opportunity interview Mr J. C. Smuts, the State Attorney upon the war and cognate subjects. As Mr Smuts

has not been quite so prominently before the world, and is, therefore not so well known perhaps as the two Presidents of the Republics and one or two other of the Boer officials for instance, Mr. Krantz or Dr. de Wet; - it may not be out of place to give a few particulars regarding him. Mr. Smuts is undoubtedly one of the best samples of the younger generation of Boer officials. He is an Afrikander by birth, and was educated in the Cape Colony and subsequently at Cambridge, where he took very high degrees, and having decided to adopt the legal profession he was called to the English Bar and admitted to the Bar of the Cape Colony.

Rights Protected

like of irreproachable reputation and conspicuous abilities, working, energetic and his progressive ideas testified to by the reforms he effected during his short tenure of office up to the commencement of the war, there is no doubt that had events shaped different course in the Transvaal, Mr. Smuts would have made his mark in ~~history~~ the history of his country and would eventually have risen to far higher office in the State than even that of State Attorney - in itself a by means unimportant position to attain to; especially by so young a man. Mr. Smuts is now ~~now~~ ^{now} only about thirty years of age. Having courteously accepted ~~to my~~ ^{about} request for an interview

I first asked Mr. Smuts what considerations had induced the Transvaal Government to send the ultimatum to Grt. Britain. His reply was very significant as indicating the feeling of despair to which the Boers had been reduced by the extraordinary diplomatic methods adopted towards them by Mr. Chamberlain & Sir Alfred Milner. "In the first place" he replied, "we had quite given up hope of averting war. Not only could we not obtain a definite statement as to what was required by the British Government with some prospect of finality to be demanded or, if the demand increased,

Rights Protected

as we made concessions, but when we went beyond Sir Alfred Milner's Bloemfontein demands our offer was not only rejected, but the offer of the British Government ~~was~~ of a commission of enquiry into the new Franchise Law was also withdrawn. Then we waited nearly a month for the final demands of the British Government, which Mr. Greene promised we should receive within two or three days; and this notwithstanding that an Army corps was being mobilised and the reserves called out in England - this latter, a course

which is never adopted until war is actually decided upon. I myself saw Mr Greene repeatedly to inquire when the promised final dispatch from Mr Chamberlain would arrive, only to be told that it would surely arrive by the morrow; and on the morrow to receive the same reply. But what finally decided us to take the step we did, was the reply of Sir Alfred Milner to Mr Steyn's last dispatch, that of Oct 6th. In it Mr Steyn protested against the continued concentration of British troops upon the Free State and Transvaal borders, and in his reply Sir Alfred Milner said almost so many words, that there was no cause for apprehension regarding the troops so far as the Free State was concerned, as they were intended for operations in the Transvaal. Mr Steyn at once came to Pretoria with this dispatch of Sir Alfred Milner's, and as it was then very evident that Mr Chamberlain was delaying the forwarding of his final demands until he could pour sufficient troops into South Africa to make it a case "Hobson's choice" with us about accepting them.

however unjust they might be, we resolved to bring matters to a head at once while we still had some little chance of being able to beat over-run, and sent the ultimatum accordingly. So that there were four things which compelled us to take the step we did: our inability to obtain, after nearly month of waiting, Mr Chamberlain's final demands; the mobilisation of an Army corps; the calling up of the Reserves, admittedly for service in South Africa - and this alone constituted practically a declaration of war against us - and Sir Alfred Milner's reply to Mr Steyn's dispatch: "It has been urged by Mr Smuts, and perhaps with some amount of plausibility, that in any case the Free State had no quarrel with England, and was wrong to take up your quarrel. What is your reply to that?" I next asked him.

Simply that apart from the blood relationships which exist between the majority of the burghers of the two Republics, and which would alone have rendered it impossible for the Free State to maintain neutrality,

when the Transvaal was attacked. The sister Republic was bound to us by treaty relations which it would have been most dishonorable to have repudiated in our hour of need. What would Englishmen say if their own country were to back out of its treaty obligations under similar circumstances? Yet that is apparently what they expect the Free State to do. Besides, do you suppose that if the Transvaal had been attacked and conquered by England, the Free State would ^{have} ~~be allowed to~~ ^{return} ~~reclaim~~ its independence?

The documents ~~and~~ ^{and} I find it ~~clear~~ ^{clear} that the Free State was menaced as well as ourselves, and confirm our belief that the only chance for the two Republics was to stick together and to stand or fall together."

My next question was
~~I asked~~ "Is it any secret, Mr Smuts, how many men you have or have had, in the field? That is a point ~~which~~ which I would much like to have an authoritative pronouncement upon, in view of the absurdly different ~~figures~~ ^{figures} and estimates from various quarters." "Oh, no" he replied, "no secret at all. We have had, approximately, from first to last, about 55,000 men in the

Transvaal & Free State

field, including, burghers, riflemen, "Cape rebels" and all other castes of men. But this number would of course, include the non-combatants - commissariat men, post and telegraph officials and others attached to the various lagers, as well as the actual fighters."

"And what is the greatest number you have had on service at any one particular time?"

"Certainly not more than forty to forty-two thousand. But our average number has ~~been~~^{been} considerably less than that; I should say about thirty-two thousand."

Referring to the ~~mines~~ ^{mines} and ~~not~~ ^{tentatively} destroying the mines, I next asked: "Then the Government has no intention to destroy the mines?"

Certainly not." replied Mr. Smuts plausibly, "we are not barbarians, ~~not~~^{not} the number of times it has been asserted that we are, and we do not war against individuals, however much we may have suffered ^{through} the actions of individuals. The mines will be protected with all the resources at the disposal of the Government, so long as we claim any authority over the ~~country~~ country."

"I take it there is no prospect of an immediate termination

Collection Number: A1203

Collection Name: Edward Bushnan Rose

PUBLISHER:

Publisher: Historical Papers Research Archive

Location: Johannesburg

©2014

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

The photographs by David Barnett, which form part of the notebook, are subject to copyright by The Star newspaper.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection held at the Historical Papers Research Archive, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.