IN THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MAFEKING.
HELD AT MAFEKING.

Case No. 64/1925.

Between

JAMES FLEMING

Plaintiff

And

W. G. BERNARD

Defendant.

PLEA.

- (1) Defendant admits paragraphs (1) (2) (3) and (4) off the particulars contained in Plaintiff's but summons, in regard to paragraph (2) states that the lease was originally granted to one H. Siddons, who ceded the same to the Late Walter Middleton Wirsing, and that subsequently it was ceded by the Estate of the said W. M. Wirsing to Defendant.
- (2) In or about June or July 1915, the said John Kantakan Bakoloping Montsica the then owner of the said farm granted Defendant renewal of the said lease for a further period of ten years from the lat April, 1920.
- (3) By reason of the said renewal and in terms of the sail lease Defendant had at the time Plaintiff

acquired

acquired John Montsion's rights and still has the right to occupy the said farm up to and until the 30th day of March 1930.

- (a) Defendant denies paragraph (5) of the particulars and stated that in terms of the said cession, of the said lease rent is payable annually in advance on the 1st day of April in each and every year.
- (5) Defendant further states that in or about the months of June or July 1915, prior to the date on which Plaintiff acquired his rights, the said John Montsion agreed to take over certain improvements consisting of a borehole and windmill to be put down and erected by Defendant as and in lieu of rent for the ten years commencing on the lst April, 1920; and that such improvements were effected by Defendant in or about April 1916.
- (6) By reason of the foregoing Defendant denies that rent is in arrear as alleged or that Plaintiff has the right to eject him before the expiration of the said period of renewal of the said lease.

WHEREFORE Defendant prays that Plaintiff's summons may be dismissed with costs.

COUNTERCLAIM.

ALTERNARIVELY if Defendant's Plea is

not....

not upheld but not otherwise Defendant (now Plaintiff in reconvention) states:-

- (1) That in or about the month of April 1916 a borehole was put down and a windmill erected by the Plaintiff in reconvention on the said farm with the said John Montsioa's consent, and that such borehole and windmill cost Plaintiff in reconvention £235.0.0.
- (2) That certain other improvements, namely a dwelling house, the material from which the same was built being valued at £200, and fencing to the value of £50 were made on the said farm with John Montsioa's consent, both by previous lessees and by Plaintiff in reconvention.
- (3) That rates and taxes amounting to £15.5.11 for the years 1916, 1917, 1918, 1919 and 1922 were paid by Plaintiff in reconvention although there was no obligation upon him to do so.

In order to bring the claim within the jurisdiction of the Court, Plaintiff in reconvention reduces his claim to £200, abandoning the sum of £300.3.11.

whereform Plaintiff in reconvention claims payment of the sum of £200 as and for conpensation in respect of improvements effected and rates and taxes paid by him on the said farm.

Dated at MAFEKING this 10th day of March 1925.

DE KOCK & DE KOCK

Defendant's Attorneys.
MAFRKING.

Messrs Minchin & Kelly,
Plaintiff's Defendants
MAFEKING.

Collection Number: A979

Silas T MOLEMA and Solomon T PLAATJE Papers

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:- Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand Location:- Johannesburg ©2012

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: Copyright for all materials on the Historical Papers website is owned by The University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and is protected by South African copyright law. Material may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of a collection owned by the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg and deposited at Historical Papers at The University of the Witwatersrand.