

11.10.12

1943.

STATEMENT

(1) Reductions in Rent October 1943.
(from 30/- to 20/- per month)

(2) As a result of reductions, the poor widow got involved in serious debts which nearly involved her property.

(a) Cost of water £3"6 per month.

(b) Assess. & sanitation £19 per annum

(c) Agent's commission £1 per month.

(d) For cleaning ^(improving) property £12 per year

(e) Bond instalment £7"10 per month

(f) ~~Value~~ Total Expenditure = £172"12 per annum.

(3) Valuation of property £1600

(4) Deductions:

(a) From shop £2"14 per month

(b) " rooms (8) £4 " "

Total per yr ~~£56"8~~ £80"8

(5) Present monthly income

(a) shop £5"80

(b) rooms £8

Yearly Inc. = £162

Mrs Direro

1943(?)

Bond £12.00

Before Rent of 4 rooms each was £1..15s
2 rooms £1..17s..6d

Rates last year were £22.

Instalment £15 a month, now that the
rent is cut all 6 rooms are £1..7..6
each.

The rates this year from January
to June are £9, water is 9s..5 a
month, instalment the same £15.

Mrs. Molatedi

Dear Sir,

In the last meeting of the Advisory Board the following points were debated, and representative was asked to bring same forward at the inquiry.

- (a) Reduction of House rent.
- (b) Railway Subsidy: That the Railways be asked to extend the Pimville-Jeppe native fares to George Goch, so that E.N.T. can be included in the scheme.
- (c) Bus service to be doubled. At present congested. At peak hours people are left behind.
- (d) School children be fed with soup in winter, and with milk in summer.
- (e) That before estimates of Revenue etc. are placed before the Council, Advisory boards should be what allocations had been made for their Locations. *know*
- (f) Old Age Pension. (1) People already living in Locations before Old Age Pension came in force.
(11) Only for people living in Reserves.
NB. Children cannot send money to their parents in the Reserves owing to high cost of living, unemployment etc.
- (g) Water borne Sewerage be introduced in the townships.
- (h) In future no two-roomed houses to be built, and where such houses are already in existence, alterations be made to accommodate a big family.
A three-roomed house to be let at a maximum of £1 per month.
- (i) That a hut or hall be built in all locations for use of unemployed young men, where a reading-room, canteen and a physical and cultural class can be started, with a full-time canteen steward in charge.
- (j) General Survey of Locations.

(K) Marketing of Vegetables, Fruit etc in E.N.T

Incomplete

WEB/PM

in the last... of the...
...
(a) ...
(b) ...
(c) ...
(d) ...
(e) ...
(f) ...
(g) ...
(h) ...
(i) ...
(j) ...

Average L4. 5-

Expenditure L6.

1. According to original rent card Mutle received 2/6 transfer No. 107.

This indicated that this place was sold before 14/3/31. This should be traced.

2. According to Case 3984/40 it is alleged he owes £8.1.6 as follows:-

Nov. 1938-October 1940 (12 months)	£4.4.0.
Nov. 1939, 4 lodgers @ 2/6 (one month)	10.0.
Dec. 1939-Jan.-1940 (3 lodgers for 2 mths.)	15.0.
Feb. 1939-July, 1940 (2 lodgers) 2/6 for 6 mths.	1.10.0
Aug. 1940-Oct.-1940 (3 lodgers @ 2/6 for 3 mths.)	<u>1. 2.6.</u>
	8. 1.6.

N.B. According to books, let us assume that from 1930? from what month (?) to October, 1939 Mutle paid up.

NOTE: Statement of arrears points out that Mutle owed November, 1939 but is credited with 10/- ? for November which reduces the rent to 7/- (?)

Then statement further allege that from Nov. 1939 to Oct. 1940, practically 12 months no rent payments were made resulting in the arrears to the amount of £8.1.6.

The usual practice is that a letter of demand often goes out just when one is in arrear for from 2,3 to 4 months. What steps were taken in this case (?)

THEN on the card he is sold in execution just there from November, 1939 where the amounts begin. Whereas in truth and fact he should have been sold in execution in Oct. 1940.

One peculiar thing difficult to be understood, Mutle was owner in 1936, thereby was himself having his own lodgers who paid direct to him and yet, one Selina Mohasoa is issued a separate residential permit occupying one room on payment of 12/-. Same with John Makanya. Same with alitha Sekhanya.

Then there is Ruth Seepamore who paid £3.5.0 in all from August to December, 1935. What was she in the building(?)

IMPORTANT. On a card (rent card) showing payment of 15/- rent and payable from the 31/1/1930. This is sufficient proof that Mutle must have been sold either the previous year of 1929.

On another rent card, same amount per month, dated 6th Nov. 1928, if we are disprived now of the fact that he was sold in 1929 and must now assume that the sale took place in 1927.

Rent card of 12/- lodgers fees strongly supports the above contention about doubtful periods of sale, but which sale did take place before the 31st december, 1930. And which brings old Mutle under the 1925 scheme.

CAREFULLY examine rent card of 6/6 d/d 10/1/31 and 1930 (?)

The cards mentioned above are not available at present for inspection as these have been sent along with Mr. Sa. Leshoah's statement to the Commission of Enquiry.

Collection Number: AD843

XUMA, A.B., Papers

PUBLISHER:

Publisher:- **Historical Papers Research Archive**

Location:- **Johannesburg**

©2013

LEGAL NOTICES:

Copyright Notice: All materials on the Historical Papers website are protected by South African copyright law and may not be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, displayed, or otherwise published in any format, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Disclaimer and Terms of Use: Provided that you maintain all copyright and other notices contained therein, you may download material (one machine readable copy and one print copy per page) for your personal and/or educational non-commercial use only.

People using these records relating to the archives of Historical Papers, The Library, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, are reminded that such records sometimes contain material which is uncorroborated, inaccurate, distorted or untrue. While these digital records are true facsimiles of paper documents and the information contained herein is obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable, Historical Papers, University of the Witwatersrand has not independently verified their content. Consequently, the University is not responsible for any errors or omissions and excludes any and all liability for any errors in or omissions from the information on the website or any related information on third party websites accessible from this website.

This document is part of the archive of the South African Institute of Race Relations, held at the Historical Papers Research Archive at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.